

REMARKS

RECORD OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW AND DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

On Tuesday, August 24th, Applicants' representative and Examiner D'Agosta discussed the present case. The Examiner indicated that the subject matter of Claim 1 would be allowable with the incorporation of the language of prior claim 2 and with the recitation of "said synthesizer" rather than "a first synthesizer" and recitation that the forward path RF signals are phase coherent with a *corresponding one* of the plurality of reverse path RF signals. Applicants have so amended claim 1 and have amended the other independent claims to incorporate the language either dependent claims that were otherwise previously found allowable if written in independent form or to incorporate such language into independent claims 25 and 26 for which no dependent claim included such language.

Claims 2 and 17 were found by the Examiner to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 1 and 14 have been amended to incorporate the features previously recited in their respectively allowable dependent claims 2 and 17. Claims 25 and 26 have been amended to incorporate features consistent with previous claims 2 and 17, respectively and therefore Applicants believe that claims 25 and 26 should be likewise allowable.

Therefore, Applicants believe that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are overcome.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Applicants respectfully submit that this Response, in view of the Remarks offered in conjunction therewith, is fully responsive to all aspects of the objections and rejections tendered by the Examiner in the Office Action. Applicants respectfully submit that they have demonstrated that the above-identified Patent Application, including Claims 1, 3-5, 10-15 and 18-30, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew M. Harris
Reg. 42,638
(706) 782-9683
Weiss, Moy & Harris, P.C.
4204 North Brown Ave.
Scottsdale, AZ 85251